Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

	1. Project Nu	mber (Assigned by federal unit):OR-115-10
2. Project Name: Road	lside Brushing	3. County: Jackson
4. Project Sponsor: Randy	-	Date: 6-29-01
6. Sponsors Phone # 618-2	•	
7. Sponsor's E-mail : r1brya		
7. Sponsor S 2 man. 1101ye	are or.omi.50 v	
8. Project Location (attach p	roject area man)	
5. 110jeet Location (attach p	roject area map)	
a 4th Field Watershed	Name and HUC #Gf ki	nown): Middle Rogue, Upper Rogue
		nown): Evans, Rogue, Trail, Butte
c. Legal Location:	Traine and Tree man	nown). Drain, Rogae, Train, Bane
Township <u>Various</u>	Range Various	Section(s) Many
Township		Section(s)
Township	Range	Section(s)
Township	Range	Section(s)
Township		Section(s)
Township	Range	Section(s)
Township	_ Range	Section(s)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		source Area: <u>Butte Falls</u>
f. National Forest		g. Forest Service District
h. State / Private / Oth	ner lands involved?	Yes 🖵 No

- **9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:** This project will provide Roadside Brushing of BLM controlled roads in the Butte Falls R.A.
- **10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Provide roadside brushing of BLM controlled roads. Includes removing Brush growing in from both sides of the road. Arterial and Collector roads are becoming clogged with undergrowth, creating driving conditions more difficult and dangerous to drive due to the narrowed traveled ways.

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

11.	Coordination of this projec	t with other related	proj	ect(s) on adjacent lands?
	☐ Yes ■ No If	yes, then describe.		
12.	How does proposed project Improves maintenance of exis Implements stewardship object Restores and improves land he Restores water quality. [Sec. 2	ting infrastructure. [Sectives that enhance for ealth. [Sec. 2(b)]	ec. 2(b)	
	Project Type (check one) [Sec. 2 Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2) Road Decommission/Obliterat Other Infrastructure Maintena Soil Productivity Improvement Watershed Restoration & Mnt	203(b)(1)] (A)] ion [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] ence (specify): tt [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] tc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	00 00 0	Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
	Reestablish Native Species [Se	ec. 2(b)(2)(G)]		
a. T c. I e. I f. (Measure of Project Accommendation of Acres: No. Structures: No. of Laborer Days: _100 Other (specify):	b. Total Miles: d. Estimated F	200 People	Reached (for environmental education projects):
	Duration of Project and Es Target Species Benefited (_	n Dat	e [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: <u>Year round, / Through 2006</u>

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)] Brushing of BLM Roads will benefit use by keeping them open for travel. Administrative and Recreation use, and Commercial Hauling would benefit directly from well maintained road systems. Traffic speed and driver safety would be improved by keeping roads free from encroaching vegetation.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities? The motoring public will benefit from road systems that are not overgrown by trees and brush, are easily maintained, and are safe to drive.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Roads that are free from brush provide a safe environment for travel that occurs on and across Federal lands. Brushed roads are easier for crews to inspect and perform road maintenance.

4 0.	Status of Project Planning a. NEPA Complete: ■ Yes □ No b. If	No, give est. date	of com	pletion:
	c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:		No	■ Not Applicable
	d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:		No	■ Not Applicable
	e. Survey & Manage Complete:	🔲 Yes 📮	No	■ Not Applicable
	f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:	🔲 Yes 📮	No	■ Not Applicable
	g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	🔲 Yes 📮	No	■ Not Applicable
	h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	🔲 Yes 📮	No	■ Not Applicable
	i. Project Design(s) Completed:	☐ Yes ■	No	
	SL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and W	/ildlife, COE = Army	Corps of	f Engineers, SHPO =
State	Historic Preservation Officer Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment			f Engineers, SHPO =
State	Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment Contract	Federal Workfor		f Engineers, SHPO =
State	Historic Preservation Officer Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment			f Engineers, SHPO =

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a. 'l	l'otal	County	Title II	Funds	Requested:	<u>\$ 100,000</u>
-------	--------	--------	----------	-------	------------	-------------------

b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ■ No If yes, then display by fiscal year

c. FY02 Request: \$ 20,000 f. FY05 Request: \$ 20,000 g. FY06 Request: \$ 20,000

e. FY04 Request: \$ 20,000

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation				
29. Contract Administration		\$5,000		
30. Contract Cost		\$95,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$5,000			
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$5,000	\$100,000	\$	\$

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39.	Monitoring	Plan (Sec.203(b)	(6))

a.	What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
	BF RA Lead Engineer or designate will be responsible for monitoring success of project work.
b.	How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
	Contractor would be required to provide answers about local employment. No real training opportunities, other than managing a small business is apparent. BF RA Lead Engineer or designate will be responsible for monitoring success of project work.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Current yearly meetings with Agreement Companies would be a forum in which to discuss road maintenance and roadside brushing conditions.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$5,000